

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
BEAUMONT DIVISION

AUTUMN LIANN DURDEN	§	
VS.	§	CIVIL ACTION NO. 1:23-CV-70
WARDEN, FCI ALICEVILLE	§	

MEMORANDUM OPINION REGARDING TRANSFER

Petitioner, Autumn Liann Durden, an inmate currently incarcerated at FCI Aliceville, proceeding *pro se*, filed what appears to be a petition for writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241.

The above-styled action was referred to the undersigned magistrate judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636 and the Local Rules for the Assignment of Duties to the United States Magistrate Judge for findings of fact, conclusions of law, and recommendations for the disposition of the case.

Discussion

Petitioner was convicted of Possession with Intent to Distribute Methamphetamine “actual” and/or “ice” on November 18, 2016. Petitioner was sentenced to 100 months’ imprisonment, followed by 3 years supervised release, and a \$100.00 special assessment in the Eastern District of Texas, Beaumont Division. *United States v. Durden*, 1:16-CR-20 (E.D. Tex. Nov. 18, 2016). Petitioner challenges the calculation of time credited toward the completion of her sentence.

Analysis

Title 28 U.S.C. § 2241(a) provides that “[w]rits of habeas corpus may be granted by the Supreme Court, any justice thereof, the district courts and any circuit judge within their respective jurisdictions.” “To entertain a § 2241 habeas petition, the district court must, upon the filing of the petition, have jurisdiction over the prisoner or his custodian.” *United States v. Gabor*, 905 F.2d 76, 78 (5th Cir. 1990) (citation omitted). A § 2241 petition must be filed in the district where the petitioner is incarcerated. *Id.*; *Hooker v. Sivley*, 187 F.3d 680, 682 (5th Cir. 1999).

Petitioner is currently confined at FCI Aliceville located in Aliceville, Alabama. FCI Aliceville is located in the Northern District of Alabama, Tuscaloosa Division as it is located in Pickens County, Alabama. As Petitioner is not incarcerated in the Eastern District of Texas, this court is without jurisdiction to consider this petition for writ of habeas corpus filed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241; subject matter jurisdiction cannot be waived. *See DeCell & Associates v. F.D.I.C.*, 36 F.3d 464, 471 (5th Cir. 1991).

Under 28 U.S.C. § 1404(a), for the convenience of parties and witnesses and in the interest of justice, a district court may transfer any civil action to any other district or division where it could have been brought. Such a transfer may be done *sua sponte* and is reviewable only for an abuse of discretion. *Mills v. Beech Aircraft Corp.*, 886 F.2d 758, 761 (5th Cir. 1989).

Conclusion

After considering the circumstances, the court has determined that the interests of justice would best be served if this petition were transferred to the district in which petitioner is confined rather than dismiss the case for lack of jurisdiction. An Order of Transfer so providing shall be entered in accordance with this Memorandum Order.

SIGNED this the 24th day of April, 2023.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'C. Stetson', written over a horizontal line.

Christine L Stetson
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE